

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/746,305	WILEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher A. Revak	2131	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(2) Brad Williams.

Status of Application: response after non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2005

Time: 1:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101 non statutory subject matter

Claims discussed:

21,54


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to correct a 101 issue with claims 21 and 54. The examiner proposed language to overcome the 101 rejection and the applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 8/30/05
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)